

How can any broadcasting agency force their message of political oppion. Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation and demonstrates the ability for one broadcasting company to infiltrate the nation with potential terroristic views.

If Sinclar is able to do this we set the country in danger for someone without Democratic or Republilcan views to do the same. I would hope that Homeland Security was consulted with these possibilities.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.